



Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3710
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Length-Weight Relationship and Relative Condition factor of Paratrypauchen microcephalus (Bleeker, 1860) along the Mumbai coast</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline:**

**This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



## 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>The research is modern and authentic. Several notes must be adhered to in the article to be completed optimally:</b> <b>1. The researcher did not adhere to the general conditions for writing the manuscript.</b> <b>2. All illustrations must be included within the result.</b> <b>3. The discussion is good and the researcher relied on many valuable and diverse references.</b>	Okay
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>		
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>		
<b>6. Are the references sufficient</b>		



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>		
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>All notes and corrections have been added within the manuscript.</p>	<p>Okk sir / madam</p>
<p><b><u>Optional</u></b> comments</p>	<p>I found the writing style of this manuscript to be well, however, I would recommend checking the manuscript in the English language with a native speaker.</p>	<p>Sure sir / madam.</p>

## **PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	